

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED; 08/09/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,456	06/26/2003	Jason Goldsmith	JGO1-G90	9389
75	90 08/09/2005		EXAM	INER
Karl M. Steins			PASSANITI, SEBASTIANO	
Steins & Associ	iates			
Suite 120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2333 Camino del Rio South			3711	
San Diego, CA	92108		•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ς			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>			
		10/608,456	GOLDSMITH, JASON	GOLDSMITH, JASON			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Sebastiano Passaniti	3711				
Period fe	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication apport Reply</li> </ul>	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, m  within the statutory minimum of the control of th	ay a reply be timely filed  f thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati te ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
Status			ežo	. •			
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on see of	detailed Office action					
•	·	action is non-final.					
<u> </u>	,—		natters, prosecution as to the merits	is			
ت(۵	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims		,				
_							
4)🖂	<ul><li>☐ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.</li><li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li></ul>						
5\□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	VII ITOTTI CONSIGERATION					
· · · · ·							
-	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement					
		oloolon roquiromoni					
_	ion Papers						
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
111	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11)[	The dath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attac	siled Office Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents		C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	n Application No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	een received in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies	not received.				
Attachmen	ut(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Intervi	ew Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper	No(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Sample TD and §3.73.				

Application/Control Number: 10/608,456

Art Unit: 3711

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office action is responsive to communication received 05/18/2005 – Amendment.

Claims 1-20 remain pending.

Following is an action on the MERITS:

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 35 USC §103

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kaise (of record). Note head (1) including top surface (2) and face (3) along with a projection surface (not numbered) upon which an alignment image (7) is inscribed.

Plate (5) serves as the claimed dome having a top surface and an underside surface.

Claims 1-11 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hamilton (of record). As to claim 1, note putter head (22) including a dome or central raised aligning portion (21) having a top surface (not numbered) within which a slot (20) is formed. An alignment image, i.e., a fluorescent surface or line is formed on projection

Application/Control Number: 10/608,456

Art Unit: 3711

surface (32). Surface (40) may be considered to be a bottom of the dome and, according to Figure 4, is indeed spaced from projection surface (32). As to claim 2, note slot (20). As to claim 3, the slot is aligned along a line that is perpendicular to the plane of the face. As to claims 5 and 6, the slot is aligned with the projection surface such that a line formed by the fluorescent texture on the projection surface in combination with the slot form a parallactic alignment line. As to claims 7 and 8, note the embodiment in Figure 8, wherein a plurality of apertures (52) is revealed. As to claim 9, viewed in one sense, the top of the dome or central raised portion (21) may indeed be considered the top of the head. As to claim 10, here again, note Figure 8. As to claim 11, note the embodiment in Figure 1. As to claims 15-18, note the comments for claims 1-11, supra.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Innes. Sight (7) may be considered to be a dome having a top surface and an underside surface spaced from the top surface of the head (4).

Claims 13, 14, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Innes in view of Hamilton and Kaise. The patent to Innes differs from the claimed invention in that Innes does not show indicia on a projection surface. In this case, central area (12) of Innes may serve as the claimed projection surface. Both Kaise and Hamilton show it to be old in the art to provide an alignment system in which vertically spaced apart indicia are used to form an alignment image that is arranged generally perpendicular to the face plane. Each of Kaise and Hamilton suggests that the indicia may include diverse graphics. Hamilton further provides for coloring of the

Art Unit: 3711

projection surface (32) to produce a "lightened" appearance. In view of the patents to Kaise and Hamilton, it would have been obvious to modify the device in the cited art reference to Innes by providing the surface directly below sighting groves (16) with an alignment image, the motivation being to provide vertical spaced apart indicia for enhancing the alignment characteristics of the head.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 and 7-18 of copending Application No. 10/808,710. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the '710 application merely set forth the dome element using a slightly diverse expression. Specifically, the doe element of the '710 application is defined as an elongate bar-shaped dome. This description is merely viewed as an obvious design variation over the non-hemispherical configuration defined by the instant claims. The remaining

Application/Control Number: 10/608,456

Art Unit: 3711

features of the instant claimed invention are substantially analogous features required by the claimed device of the '710 application. By way of example only, as to instant claim 3, see claims 2 and 3 of the '710 application. As to instant claims 5 and 6, see claims 4 and 7 of the '710 application. As to instant claims 13, 14, 19 and 20, see claims 10 and 11 of the '710 application. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

#### Terminal Disclaimer

Enclosed with this Office action is a sample terminal disclaimer which is effective to overcome a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection over a pending application (37 CFR 1.321(b) and (c)).

Also enclosed is a sample Statement Under 37 CFR 3.73(b) (Form PTO/SB/96) which an <u>assignee</u> may use in order to ensure compliance with the rule. Part A of the Statement is used when there is a single assignment from the inventor(s). Part B of the Statement is used when there is a chain of title. The "Copies of assignments..." box should be checked when the assignment document(s) (set forth in part A or part B) is/are not recorded in the Office, and a copy of the assignment document(s) is/are attached. When the "Copies of assignments..." box is checked, either the part A box or the part B box, as appropriate, must be checked, and the "Reel\_\_\_\_\_, Frame\_\_\_\_\_" entries should be left blank. If the part B box is checked, and copies of assignments are not included, the "From:\_\_\_\_\_ To:\_\_\_\_\_\_" blank(s) must be filled in. This statement should be used the first time an assignee seeks to take action in an application under 37 CFR 3.73(b), e.g., when signing a terminal disclaimer or a power of attorney.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sebastiano Passaniti whose telephone number is 571-272-4413. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday (6:30AM - 3:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/608,456 Page 7

Art Unit: 3711

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

S.Passaniti/sp August 5, 2005

Sebastiano Passaniti Primary Examiner

# CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b)

Appli	cant:
Appli	cation No.:Filed:
For:_	
	, a
	(Name of Assignoe) (Type of Assignoe, e.g., corporation, partnership, university, government agency, etc.)
ccrission	s that it is the assignee of the entire right, title and interest in the patent application identified above by virtue of either:
A. [ ]	An assignment from the inventor(s) of the patent application identified above. The assignment was recorded in the Patent and Trademark Office at Reel, Frame, or for which a copy thereof is attached.
OR	
B. ()	A chain of title from the inventor(s), of the patent application identified above, to the current assignee as shown below
	1. From: To:
	The document was recorded in the Patent and Trademark Office at
	Reel, Frame, or for which a copy thereof is attached.
	2. From: To:
	The document was recorded in the Patent and Trademark Office at  Reel, Frame, or for which a copy thereof is attached.
	Not, I land, or for which a copy above 2 attached
	3. From:To:
	The document was recorded in the Patent and Trademark Office at  Reel, Frame, or for which a copy thereof is attached.
	, Traile, or for which a copy flat cor is attached.
	[ ] Additional documents in the chain of title are listed on a supplemental sheet.
[ ] Co	pies of assignments or other documents in the chain of title are attached.
The und	dersigned has reviewed all the documents in the chain of title of the patent application identified above and, to the best ersigned's knowledge and belief, title is in the assignee identified above.
The ur	dersigned (whose title is supplied below) is empowered to act on behalf of the assignee.
and be	by declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true, and that all statements made on information lief are believed to be true; and further, that these statements are made with the knowledge that willful false statements at like so made, are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001, Title 18 of the United States Code at such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issuing thereon.
Date	• <u> </u>
Nam	e :
Title	<del>.</del>
Sign	anire:

PTO/SB/25 (10-00)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless; it displays a valid OMB control number.

TERMINAL DISCLAIMER TO OBVIATE A PROVISIONAL DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTION OVER A PENDING SECOND APPLICATION	Docket Number (Optional)				
In re Application of: Application No.:					
Filed:					
For:					
The owner*,, ofpercent interest in the instant application hereby disclaims, except as provided below, the terminal part of the statutory term of any patent granted on the instant application, which would extend beyond the expiration date of the full statutory term defined in 35 U.S.C. 154 to 156 and 173 as shortened by any terminal disclaimer filed prior to the grant of any patent granted on pending second Application Number, filed on, of any patent on the pending second application. The owner hereby agrees that any patent so granted on the instant application shall be enforceable only for and during such period that it and any patent granted on the second application are commonly owned. This agreement runs with any patent granted on the instant application and is binding upon the grantee, its successors or assigns.  In making the above disclaimer, the owner does not disclaim the terminal part of any patent granted on the instant application that would extend to the expiration date of the full statutory term as defined in 35 U.S.C. 154 to 156 and 173 of any patent granted on the second application, as shortened by any terminal disclaimer filed prior to the patent grant, in the event that any such granted patent: expires for failure to pay a maintenance fee, is held unenforceable, is found invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, is statutorily disclaimed in whole or terminally disclaimed under 37 CFR 1.321, has all claims canceled by a reexamination certificate, is reissued, or is in any manner terminated prior to the expiration of its full statutory term as shortened by any terminal disclaimer filed prior to its grant.  Check either box 1 or 2 below, if appropriate.					
For submissions on behalf of an organization (e.g., corporation, partnership, university, government agency, etc.), the undersigned is empowered to act on behalf of the organization.					
I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.					
2. The undersigned is an attorney or agent of record.					
Signature	Date				
Typed or pri	nted name				
Terminal disclaimer fee under 37 CFR 1.20(d) is included.					
WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.					
*Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is required if terminal disclaimer is signed by the assignee (owner).  Form PTO/SB/96 may be used for making this statement. See MPEP § 324.					

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.